US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

PPDC AZM Transition Issues Workgroup

Report-Out for PPDC Meeting May 9, 2007

Today's report-out includes:

- Overview of AZM decision
- Formation of workgroup
- Mission statement
- Progress to-date
- Next steps

When was and why has this workgroup been formed?

November 2006

- EPA announced decision to phase-out Azinphos methyl (AZM)
- Jim Jones announced the formation of a PPDC Workgroup on Transition Issues to help EPA and USDA carry out the planned AZM phase-out

Overview of AZM decision:

- November 16, 2006 -- EPA issued final decision on AZM to phase-out remaining uses by September 30, 2012 – according to this schedule:
 - By September 30, 2007, phase out:
 - Brussels sprouts
 - Nursery stock
 - By October 30, 2009, phase out:
 - Almonds
 - Pistachios
 - Walnuts

- AZM phase-out schedule (continued):
 - By September 30, 2012, phase out:
 - Apples
 - Blueberries
 - Cherries
 - Parsley
 - Pears

All other uses have been voluntarily cancelled by the manufacturer.

- EPA final AZM decision AZM includes implementation of mitigation measures during phase-out:
 - Mandatory ratcheting down of annual application rates
 - Larger buffer zones around water bodies
 - Buffers around houses and other occupied structures

- Phase-out mitigation measures (continued):
 - Gradual elimination of the few remaining aerial applications
 - Post-application worker stewardship program

- EPA final AZM decision includes "transition to alternatives" component:
 - Growers of AZM crop uses expected to successfully transition to available safer alternative pesticides
 - To facilitate transition, hold periodic meetings during phase-out to discuss available alternatives and newer/pipeline pesticides
 - EPA and USDA lead
 - Discuss at PPDC meetings

What are the affiliations of workgroup members?

- Co-chairs are EPA (Rick Keigwin) and USDA (Allen Jennings)
- Workgroup members include:
 - Agriculture/farmer representatives
 - Environmental/consumer/farmworker representatives
 - Academia/public health/public foundation representatives
 - Food processor/distributor representative
 - Pesticide companies/trade association representatives
 - Cal-DPR representative
 - NAFTA partners from Canada's PMRA are observers

*Workgroup includes several members of the PPDC

What is the workgroup's "charge"?

- Provide advice, through PPDC, to EPA and USDA on implementation EPA decision to phase-out remaining AZM uses, with the following objectives and goals:
 - Identifying framework for reasonable transition
 - Identifying ways to improve understanding of critical grower needs and perspectives of all stakeholders regarding the transition

What is the workgroup's "charge"?

- Workgroup objectives and goals (continued):
 - Identifying programs/mechanisms to provide reduced-risk pest management strategies and techniques to growers
 - Recommending ways to assist growers in their good faith efforts as they try AZM alternatives and feasible, cost-effective techniques

What is the workgroup's "charge"?

Workgroup objectives and goals (continued):

- Fostering transparency and public participation in decision-making
- Providing process recommendations to ensure that AZM transition progress is tracked and assessed and reported back to PPDC

What is the workgroup NOT charged with?

Revisiting the EPA final AZM decision

Discussing rationale for AZM decision

Discussing pending litigation

What has the workgroup accomplished thus far?

- Workgroup (public) meeting held March 6, 2007
- Day of brainstorming resulted in rough outline of basic transition strategy with components addressing four areas of concern:
 - Trade
 - Regulatory issues
 - Research and implementation
 - Impact assessment (to include economics, resistance management, sustainability...)

What has the workgroup accomplished thus far?

- Two groups volunteered to draft case-studies:
 - Ohio parsley
 - Washington apples
- Two short workgroup teleconferences held along with many e-mail-exchanges
- Two matrices under development:
 - Crop/alternate approaches to pest management matrix
 - Regulatory matrix

What is the status of the matrices and case-studies?

Draft matrices in workgroup review

 Draft case-studies being revised after first-round review/comment

What does the Ohio parsley casestudy currently consist of?

- General cropping information
- Production practices
- Crop value
- Pest identification
- Potential pest management tools and cultural practices
- Tasks
- Timelines

What other input is anticipated into the Ohio parsley case-study?

- Cost, availability, review status, effectiveness of alternate pest management tools
- Identification of barriers of adoption
- Practical realities and impacts of alternate approaches
- Necessary activities
- Education and outreach program

What does the Washington apples case-study include?

 Focuses on production of fresh market apples in Washington State

 Builds on adoption of AZM replacement technologies already occurring within segment of apple industry

 Articulates understanding of benefits and problems faced by growers

What does the Washington apples case-study include?

 Identifies current available potential alternatives

Discusses needed research

What are other expectations of the Washington apples case-study?

- Transition evaluation through measurement of:
 - Crop protection
 - Conservation of beneficials
 - Economics
 - Progress toward harmonized export markets
 - Farm worker and grower perceptions

What are workgroup's next steps?

- Hold another workgroup meeting to:
 - Flesh out case-studies
 - Further develop matrices
 - Work to reach consensus on components, and contents of, and plan for, transition strategies
- Present case-studies and propose advice (for USDA and EPA) to PPDC

Questions?

Thank you